

**DARTMOOR NATIONAL PARK LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION
DAILY PARTICIPANTS AND AGENDA**

Day 5: Wednesday 10 March 2021 – 2:00pm

Matter 9 – Site Allocations

Participants

For Dartmoor National Park Authority

Dan Janota (Head of Forward Planning and Economy)

Alex Gandy (Senior Policy Officer)

Alice Tilley (Assistant Policy Officer)

Mary Elkington (Figura Planning)

Simon Barry (Boyer Planning) on behalf of Cavanna Homes 0013

Ed Heynes (Heynes Planning) on behalf of Mr & Mrs Gorvin 0015

Stephanie Parker-Stephenson for Natural England 0046

John Coxon (Emery Planning) on behalf of Wainhomes SW 0057

Rob Kinchin-Smith for Friends of Ashburton Station 0090

Agenda

Inspector's opening announcements

Whether the proposed housing, employment and mixed-use site allocations are justified, effective and consistent with national policy

Issue 1 Methodology and application

- Q1. How have the proposed allocations been identified?
- Q2. How were the site boundaries, areas and dwelling/ other capacities determined? Are the assumptions justified and based on available evidence?
- Q3. How would the proposed allocations provide flexibility in the event that some sites do not come forward?
- Q4. Are they appropriate and justified in light of potential constraints, infrastructure requirements and adverse impacts? Are the sites viable and deliverable?
- Q5. What is the justification for specific policy requirements?

The above matters will be explored with particular reference to the following settlements and proposals:

Proposal 7.6 (2) Land at Holne Road, Buckfastleigh

- Q1. In light of a recently refused planning application (0452/18) on this site, would a modification be required to ensure that local housing need is met?

Proposal 7.7(2) Lamb Park, Chagford

- Q1. What is the justification for custom and self-build housing/community led housing?

Proposal 7.11(2) Forder Farm, Moretonhampstead

- Q1. What is the justification for the indicative dwelling capacity on this site, in light of an extant planning permission for 30 dwellings?

Proposals 7.14(2)(a) and 7.15(2)(b) Palstone Lane(a) and (b), South Brent

- Q1. In light of identified highway constraints would these sites be likely to be developed within the Plan period?

Proposal 7.19(2) Binkham Hill, Yelverton

- Q1. Would modifications be necessary to ensure requirements for highway and cycle way improvements are effective? Would development of this site give rise to any other infrastructure requirements?

Inspector's closing remarks